DETERMINATION OF 5α -ANDROSTANE- 3α , 17β -DIOL AND 5α -ANDROSTANE- 3β , 17β -DIOL IN HUMAN PLASMA BY RADIOIMMUNOASSAY

F. JACOLOT,^{1*} J. ADELINE,[†] F. BERTHOU^{*} and H. H. FLOCH^{*}

*Service de Biochimie, Faculté de Médecine, 29279 Brest Cédex and †Fondation de Recherche en Hormonologie, 67-77 Boulevard Pasteur, 94260 Fresnes, France

(Received 15 March 1984)

Summary— 5α -Androstane- 3α , 17β -diol (3α -diol) and 5α -androstane- 3β , 17β -diol (3β -diol) were measured in human peripheral plasma by radioimmunoassay using celite microcolumn purification. The antisera used for the assay were obtained by immunization of rabbits with 3α , 17β -dihydroxy- 5α -androstane-6-(O-carboxymethyl) oxime: BSA for 3α -diol and 3β , 17β -dihydroxy- 5α -androstane- 15α -carboxymethyl: BSA for 3β -diol. The concentrations (pg/ml ± SD) of the two diols in normal male and female plasma are respectively:

 216 ± 51 and 49 ± 32 for 3α -diol, 239 ± 76 and 82 ± 45 for 3β -diol.

Comparison of these results with published ones shows that 3β diol concentrations were significantly lower. The high specificity of the assay is due to chromatography on celite microcolumns, allowing elimination of 5-androstene- 3β , 17β -diol from the plasma sample.

INTRODUCTION

Testosterone is metabolised in target tissues into 5α -dihydrotestosterone through 5α -reduction, followed by reduction at C-3 leading to two epimers, 5α -androstane- 3α , 17β -diol and 3β , 17β -diol. 3α -Diol, which is a potent androgen, is an end product of testosterone metabolism, while its epimer 3β -diol, a weak androgen, is metabolised in vivo to 3α -hydroxylated compounds (androsterone, 3α -diol) and to tri-hydroxylated metabolites (2α - and 7α -hydroxylation)[1]. The determination of these two diols in plasma is a necessary complement of urinary assays [2]. Many authors [3-11] determined 3α - and 3β -diol levels in human plasma by radioimmunoassay. But as the purification of the plasma samples seemed unsatisfactory, chromatography on celite microcolumns was used in the present investigation. This chromatographic step allows a separation of 3α -diol, 3β -diol and 5and rost ene-3 β , 17 β -diol, a compound present in plasma at a level of 1 ng/ml [12-15]. Specificity of the method was checked by high performance liquid chromatography purification of samples before quantification by radiodimmunoassay.

EXPERIMENTAL

Chemicals. Solvents and reagents of analytical grade are not purified prior to use. Each batch of

Materials

solvents and chromatographic material are checked to estimate the blank value. $[1\alpha, 2\alpha-{}^{3}H]5\alpha$ -Androstane-3 α , 17 β -diol (sp.act. 40 Ci/mmol), $[1\alpha, 2\alpha^{-3}H]5\alpha$ androstane-3 β ,17 β -diol (sp.act. 45 Ci/mmol) were purchased from the Radiochemical Centre, Amersham (England). The radiochemical purity of these compounds was checked by thin layer chromatography. 3a-Hydroxy-androst-5-en-17-one (dehydroandrosterone) was purchased from Merck, Darmstadt (Germany). Celite was purchased from Touzart et Matignon and heated at 500°C during 16 h before use. The sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7, contained 5.38 g NaH₂PO₄, H₂O (50 mM), 21.84 g Na₂HPO₄, 12 H₂O (60 mM), 9 g NaCl, 1 g NaN₃, 2 g gelatin per liter of distilled water. Scintillant fluid was made for 12.5 g 2,5-diphenyloxazol (P.P.O.) and 1.25 g 2,2'-p-phenylenbis (4-methyl-6-phenyloxazol) (POPOP), per 2.51 of toluen (Merck). Radioactivity was counted in an Intertechnique Model SL 4000 spectrometer.

Antigens. 3β , 17β -Dihydroxy- 5α -androstane- 15α carboxymethyl: BSA was a gift from Dr Roméo Emiliozzi (University of Nice). 3a,17\beta-Dihydroxy- 5α -androstane-6-(*O*-carboxymethyl oxime): BSA was prepared from dehydroandrosterone. Reduction of ketone at C 17 leads to 5-androstene-3a,17B-diol. Nitration with sodium nitrite, acetic and nitric acid followed by reduction with zinc, acetic acid-water medium [16] of 5-androstene- 3α , 17 β -diacetate leads a mixture of 3α , 17 β -diacetoxy- 5α to and -5 β -androstan-6-one (ratio $5\alpha/5\beta = 7/3$). After saponification and alumina column chromatography,

^{&#}x27;To whom correspondence should be addressed.

the steroid was derivatized (6-CMO) and coupled to BSA [17].

Antisera. 3α -Diol antiserum produced in rabbits immunized with 3α ,17 β -dihydroxy- 5α -androstane-6-(O-carboxymethyl oxime): BSA was diluted 1/1000 for the determination of 3α -diol. 3β -Diol antiserum produced in rabbits immunized with 3β ,17 β dihydroxy- 5α -androstane- 15α -carboxymethyl : BSA was diluted 1/2000 for the determination of 3β -diol.

Methods

Extraction. Twenty μl of a solution of $[1\alpha, 2\alpha - {}^{3}H]$ $3\alpha - \text{ or } 3\beta - \text{diol} (700 \text{ dpm viz } 12 \text{ Bq})$ in phosphate buffer were added to plasma (3 ml). Samples were allowed l h at room temperature. Plasma was extracted with 10 ml of diethylether, with an automatic stirrer (Sybron, Thermolyne Maxi Mix) for 30s. The agitation was repeated twice. After freezing, the organic layer was saved and evaporated to dryness under nitrogen stream.

Chromatography. Celite, after activation at 500°C for 16 h, was gradually cooled and then impregnated with ethylene glycol (2:1, w/v) according to Abraham's method [18]. Glass microcolumns (0.6 cm internal dia) were packed with 0.75 g of impregnated celite. Six ml of isooctane were passed through the column and discarded. Plasma extract was dissolved three times with 0.2 ml of isooctane and transferred to the celite microcolumns. 4.5 ml of isooctane were passed through the column and discarded. The DHT and T fraction was then eluted with 9 ml isooctane-benzene (90:10, v/v) and discarded. The 3a-diol fraction was eluted with 7 ml isooctane-benzene (90:10, v/v), collected and evaporated to dryness. The 3β -diol fraction was eluted with 9 ml of the same solvent mixture, collected and dried under nitrogen stream. Elution diagram is shown in Fig. 1. Elutates were dissolved in 1 ml ethanol, 0.1 ml was used for recovery determinations.

Radioimmunoassay. Standard curves were prepared with 5, 10, 25, 50, 100, 150, 200 pg of unlabelled 3α or 3β -diol. 3000 dpm of the corresponding tritiated steroid and 200 μ l antiserum were added into the standard and the assay tubes ($\frac{1}{10}$ and $\frac{1}{5}$ of the sample). The tubes were then incubated at 37° C for 30 mn and thereafter at 18°C for 30 mn. The free steroid was separated from the bound by extraction with 3 ml of scintillant fluid, according to Castanier *et al.* [19]. The organic layer was transferred in polypropylene tube and radioactivity counted. Sufficient counts were accumulated so that the per cent deviation of statistical error did not exceed $\pm 2\%$ at the 95% confidence level. Standard curves are shown in Fig. 2.

RESULTS

Recovery

Following extraction, the mean per cent recovery for 3α - and 3β -diol were respectively 94 ± 6 and 94 ± 5 (n = 20). After chromatography on celite microcolumns, the mean per cent recovery for the two diols were respectively 58 ± 11 and 65 ± 10 (n = 20).

Blank values

Blank values evaluated on phosphate buffer (3 ml) extracted and chromatographied as a plasma sample showed 9 ± 2 pg/tube (n = 20) for 3α -diol and 14 ± 2 pg/tube (n = 20) for 3β -diol assay.



1411

Fig. 1. Elution of testosterone, 5α -androstane- 3ξ , 17β -diol and 5-androstene- 3ξ , 17β -diol on celite microcolumn (the detection for 5-androstene- 3α , 17β -diol was performed by Gas Chromatography as trimethylsilyl ether derivatives).



Fig. 2. Standard curves for radioimmunoassay of 5α -androstane- 3ξ , 17β -diol. A: 5α -Androstane- 3α , 17β -diol assay. 3α -Diol antiserum produced in rabbits immunized with 3α , 17β -dihydroxy- 5α -androstane-6-(O-carboxymethyl) oxime: BSA was diluted 1/1000 for the assay.

B: 5α -Androstane- 3β , 17β -diol assay. 3β -Diol antiserum produced in rabbits immunized with 3β , 17β -dihydroxy- 5α -androstane- 15α -carboxy methyl: BSA was diluted 1/2000 for the assay.

Accuracy

150, 300, 600, 1500 pg of 3α -diol and 150, 300, 1000, 1500 pg of 3β -diol were added to 3 ml of plasma stripped of steroids by charcoal treatment, and measured *n* times ($4 \le n \le 8$). The correlation curves are shown in Fig. 3.

Precision

Intra-assay precision was determined by measuring 3α - and 3β -diol concentrations of the same plasma pool in ten different assays on the same day and with the same calibration curve. The coefficients of variation were 11.5% for 3α -diol and 19% for 3β -diol. The two diols levels were measured on the same plasma pool, at two different days, with two different calibration curves. 3α -Diol concentration was evaluated to 119 ± 22 pg/ml (n = 10) for the first assay and to 122 ± 14 pg/ml (n = 10) for the second assay. For

Steroid	3α-Diol antiserum (1:1000)	3β -Diol antiserum (1:2000)
5α -A- 3α , 17β -diol	100	1
5α -A-3 β , 17 β -diol	0	100
5-Androstene- 3α , 17β -diol	21.6	
5-Androstene-3 β , 17 β -diol		37
5β -A- 3α , 17β -diol	4.2	0.6
Testosterone	0.07	0.5
5α-DHT	0.1	2
Androsterone	5.7	
Epiandrosterone		2
Progesterone	0.12	0
Estradiol	0	0.1

 3β -diol, the results were respectively 140 ± 27 pg/ml (n = 10) and 151 ± 35 pg/ml (n = 10).

Detection limit

According to Currie [20], three levels must be distinguished.

 $L_{\rm C}$: critic decision limit = 1.645 $\sigma_{\rm bl}$

 $L_{\rm D}$: detection limit = $3.29\sigma_{\rm bl}$

 L_0 : determination limit: $10\sigma_{bl}$

where σ_{bl} represented the standard deviation of the blank value. For 3α - and 3β -diol, L_C, L_D and L_Q were respectively evaluated at 3.29, 6.58 and 20 pg per tube.

Specificity

Specificity of the two antisera used was determined by Abraham's method [21] (Table 1). Specificity of the method was also determined by overloading a plasma pool with 1 ng of steroid (Table 2).

Plasma values

Plasma concentrations of 3α - and 3β -diols were evaluated in 8 normal males (26-39 years old) and 7 normal females (25-34 years old). The concentrations (pg/ml + SD) of the two diols were respectively:

216 + 51 [172–318] and 49 \pm 32 [9–104] for 3 α -diol 239 \pm 76 [139–401] and 82 \pm 45 [17–137] for 3 β -diol

DISCUSSION

Previous values reported for the level of 3α - and 3β -diols in human plasma are summarised in Table 3. For 3β -diol determinations, our results are significantly lower than the other published results. This fact may be explained by the purification step on celite microcolumns, allowing resolution of 5-androstene-3 β ,17 β -diol and 3 β -diol. This purification step was necessary because of the cross reactions of the antiserum with 5-androstene- 3β , 17β -diol (37%). In the method described by Toscano *et al.* [10] the purification step did not allow the separation between 3β -diol and 5-androstene- 3β , 17β -diol but the specificity of the antiserum used for 3β -diol determination (anti-DHT-1a-CETE:BSA) was very high (Cr_{0}^{\prime} :0.5 for 5-androstene-3 β ,17 β -diol). Nevertheless, the 3β -diol concentrations found in normal



Fig. 3. Accuracy of method for radioiummunoassay of 5α -androstane- 3β , 17β -diol (3β -diol) and 5α -androstane- 3α , 17β -diol (3α -diol). Correlation curves between pg added and pg measured on a same plasma pool.

males and females are significantly higher relative to our results. It seems that a high specificity of the antiserum is necessary but does not eliminate the need for a purification step.

purification step on celite microcolumn did not separate 3α -diol from 5-androstene- 3α , 17 β -diol (Fig. 1). Although this compound has not yet been measured in plasma, the following experience was carried out: a comparative assay of 3 plasma pools with and without a purification by HPLC which

The antiserum for the 3α -diol assay cross reacted with 5-androstene- 3α , 17 β -diol (21.6%) and the

	$\frac{3\alpha}{\overline{X} \pm SD}$	3β -Diol $\vec{X} \pm SD$ pg/ml	
Overloading	pg/ml	Pool 1	Pool 2
Plasma pool	131 ± 27	176 ± 43	424 ± 29
+ 5-Androstene- 3α , 17 β -diol	228 ± 20		
lng			
+ 5-Androstene-3 β , 17 β -diol		—	448 <u>+</u> 42
l ng			
$+5\alpha$ -A-3 α ,17 β -diol	_	154 ± 40	_
1 ng			
$+5\alpha$ -A-3 β ,17 β -diol	117 ± 9	_	
l ng			
+ Androsterone	130 ± 14		_
l ng			
+ Epiandrosterone	_	186 ± 23	_
l ng			

Table 3. Determination of 3α -diol and 3β -diol in human pla	ma by different authors
---	-------------------------

AuthorsMalesFemalesMalesFemalesKinouchi and Horton [3] 137 ± 39 20 ± 6 ——Klemm et al. [4] 121 ± 24 ———Barberial et al. [5] 152 ± 50 26 ± 5 ——Laband et al. [6] 189 ± 59 26.5 ± 2.7 ——Habrioux et al. [7] 267 ± 67 114 ± 33 816 ± 76 515 ± 177 Tamm and Volkwein [8] 251 ± 64 90 ± 20 426 ± 124 142 ± 29 Ghanadian and Puah [9]* 234 ± 7 ——Toscano et al. [10] 287 ± 86 108 ± 30 549 ± 290 239 ± 66 Hopkinson et al. [11]———607 \pm 319 285 ± 67		3α -Diol mean \pm SD pg/ml		3β -Diol mean \pm SD pg/ml	
Kinouchi and Horton [3] 137 ± 39 20 ± 6 — — Klemm et al. [4] 121 ± 24 — — — — Barberial et al. [5] 152 ± 50 26 ± 5 — — — Laband et al. [6] 189 ± 59 26.5 ± 2.7 — — — Habrioux et al. [7] 267 ± 67 114 ± 33 816 ± 76 515 ± 177 Tamm and Volkwein [8] 251 ± 64 90 ± 20 426 ± 124 142 ± 29 Ghanadian and Puah [9]* 234 ± 7 — — — Toscano et al. [10] 287 ± 86 108 ± 30 549 ± 290 239 ± 66 Hopkinson et al. [11] — — — — —	Authors	Males	Females	Males	Females
Klemm et al. [4] 121 ± 24 Barberial et al. [5] 152 ± 50 26 ± 5 Laband et al. [6] 189 ± 59 26.5 ± 2.7 Habrioux et al. [7] 267 ± 67 114 ± 33 816 ± 76 515 ± 177 Tamm and Volkwein [8] 251 ± 64 90 ± 20 426 ± 124 142 ± 29 Ghanadian and Puah [9]* 234 ± 7 Toscano et al. [10] 287 ± 86 108 ± 30 549 ± 290 239 ± 66 Hopkinson et al. [11] 607 ± 319 285 ± 67	Kinouchi and Horton [3]	137 ± 39	20 ± 6	_	_
Barberial et al. [5] 152 ± 50 26 ± 5 Laband et al. [6] 189 ± 59 26.5 ± 2.7 Habrioux et al. [7] 267 ± 67 114 ± 33 816 ± 76 515 ± 177 Tamm and Volkwein [8] 251 ± 64 90 ± 20 426 ± 124 142 ± 29 Ghanadian and Puah [9]* 234 ± 7 Toscano et al. [10] 287 ± 86 108 ± 30 549 ± 290 239 ± 66 Hopkinson et al. [11] 607 ± 319 285 ± 67	Klemm et al. [4]	121 ± 24		_	
Laband et al. [6] 189 ± 59 26.5 ± 2.7 — — Habrioux et al. [7] 267 ± 67 114 ± 33 816 ± 76 515 ± 177 Tamm and Volkwein [8] 251 ± 64 90 ± 20 426 ± 124 142 ± 29 Ghanadian and Puah [9]* 234 ± 7 — — — Toscano et al. [10] 287 ± 86 108 ± 30 549 ± 290 239 ± 66 Hopkinson et al. [11] — — — —	Barberial et al. [5]	152 ± 50	26 ± 5	_	
Habrioux et al. [7] 267 ± 67 114 ± 33 816 ± 76 515 ± 177 Tamm and Volkwein [8] 251 ± 64 90 ± 20 426 ± 124 142 ± 29 Ghanadian and Puah [9]* 234 ± 7 - - - Toscano et al. [10] 287 ± 86 108 ± 30 549 ± 290 239 ± 66 Hopkinson et al. [11] - - 607 \pm 319 285 ± 67	Laband et al. [6]	189 ± 59	26.5 ± 2.7		
Tamm and Volkwein [8] 251 ± 64 90 ± 20 426 ± 124 142 ± 29 Ghanadian and Puah [9]* 234 ± 7 - - - Toscano et al. [10] 287 ± 86 108 ± 30 549 ± 290 239 ± 66 Hopkinson et al. [11] - - 607 \pm 319 285 ± 67	Habrioux et al. [7]	267 ± 67	114 ± 33	816 ± 76	515 ± 177
Ghanadian and Puah [9]* 234 ± 7	Tamm and Volkwein [8]	251 ± 64	90 ± 20	426 ± 124	142 ± 29
Toscano et al. [10] 287 ± 86 108 ± 30 549 ± 290 239 ± 66 Hopkinson et al. [11] - - 607 ± 319 285 ± 67	Ghanadian and Puah [9]*	234 ± 7		_	_
Hopkinson <i>et al.</i> [11] $ 607 \pm 319$ 285 ± 67	Toscano et al. [10]	287 ± 86	108 ± 30	549 ± 290	239 ± 66
	Hopkinson et al. [11]	-	_	607 ± 319	285 ± 67
Our results 216 ± 51 49 ± 32 239 ± 76 82 ± 43	Our results	216 ± 51	49 ± 32	239 ± 76	82 ± 45

*For [9]: mean ± SEM.

eliminated 5-androstene-3a, 17B-diol from the plasma samples. The use of HPLC did not result in a great difference in the 3α -diol concentrations of the plasma pool after celite chromatography $(100 \pm 7 \text{ pg/ml})$ on the one hand and celite plus HPLC purification step $(118 \pm 19 \text{ pg/ml})$ on the other hand. The assay of the same plasma pool overloaded with 1 ng of 5-androstene- 3α , 17β -diol (for 3 ml of plasma) showed an increase of 81 pg with regard to the reference plasma (181 \pm 9 pg/ml and 100 \pm 7 pg/ml). Since cross reaction of the antiserum against 5-androstene- 3α , 17 β -diol was about 21.6%, the calculated contribution of this interference to 3a-diol determination was 72 pg, against 81 pg measured, which shows a good agreement between the two values. After HPLC purification, the 3α -diol level decreased to $84 \pm 7 \text{ pg/ml}$, a value near the base value. Thus the use of an HPLC purification step prevents interference due to the presence of 5-androstene- 3α , 17β -diol in human plasma. But this compound has not been identified in human plasma. In the future, the use of HPLC purification will guarantee the specificity of the method, with the elimination of 5-androstene- 3α , 17 β and 3β , 17 β -diol from plasma samples.

Acknowledgement—This study was supported by the "Fondation de Recherche en Hormonologie", Fresnes (France).

REFERENCES

- 1. Jacolot F., Berthou F., Dreano Y., Bercovici J. P. and Floch H. H.: In vivo metabolism of ¹⁴C-labelled 5α -androstane- 3β , 17β -diol. J. steroid Biochem. 14 (1981) 663-669.
- 2. Berthou F.: Application de la chromatographie en phase gazeuse sur colonnes capillaires de verre à l'analyse des dihydroxystéroides urinaires humains. Thèse de Doctorat es-Sciences, Brest, 1977.
- Kinouchi T. and Horton R.: 3α-Androstanediol kinetics in man. J. clin. Invest. 54 (1974) 262-268.
- Klemm W., Liebich H. and Gupta D.: Plasma levels of 5α-androstane-3α,17β-diol in boys during adolescent growth. J. clin. Endocr. Metab. 42 (1976) 514-519.
- Barberia J., Pages L. and Horton R.: Measurement of androstanediol in plasma in a radioimmunoassay using celite column chromatography. *Fert. Steril.* 27 (1976) 1101-1104.
- 6. Laband P., Tresguerres J. A. F., Lisboa B. P., Volkwein U. and Tamm J.: The determination of

 5α -androstane- 3α , 17β -diol in human plasma by radioimmunoassay. Acta endocr., Copenh. **88** (1978) 778-786.

- Habrioux G., Desfosses B., Condom R., Faure B. and Jayle M. F.: Simultaneous radioimmunoassay of 5α-androstane-3α,17β-diol and 5α-androstane-3β,17βdiol unconjugated and conjugated in human serum. Steroids 82 1978) 61-71.
- 8. Tamm J. and Volkwein V.: Unconjugated 5α -androstan- 3α , 17β -diol and 5α -androstan- 3β , 17β -diol in human plasma as measured by radioimmunoassay without chromatography. J. clin. Chem. clin. Biochem. **19** (1981) 291-294.
- 9. Ghanadian R. and Puah C. M.: Measurement of 5α -androstane- 3α , 17β -diol in human serum and urine. *Proc. Symp. Analysis of Steroids, Eger, Hungary*, 1981.
- Toscano V., Petrangeli E., Adamo M. V., Foli S., Caiola S. and Sciarra F.: Simultaneous determination of 5α-reduced metabolites of testosterone in human plasma. J. steroid Biochem. 14 (1981) 574-578.
- Hopkinson C. R. N., Park B. K., Johnson M. W., Sturm G., Steinbach K. and Hirsch-Hauser C.: Concentrations of unconjugated 5α-androstan-3β,17β-diol in human peripheral plasma as measured by radioimmunoassay. J. steroid Biochem. 8 (1977) 1253-1257.
- Pirke K. M.: A radioimmunoaasay for the measurement of 5-androstene-3β,17β-diol in plasma. Steroids 30 (1977) 53-60.
- Demisch K., Magnet W., Neubauer M. and Schoffling K.: Studies about unconjugated androstenediol in human peripheral plasma. J. clin. Endocr. Metab. 37 (1973) 129-134.
- Rosenfield R. L. and Otto P.: Androstenediol levels in human peripheral plasma. J. clin. Endocr. Metab. 35 (1972) 818-822.
- 15. Loriaux D. L. and Lipsett M. B.: Radioligand assay for Δ 5-3-hydroxysteroids. II. 5-androstene-3 β , 17 β -diol and 3 β , 17 α -dihydroxy-5-pregnen-20-one. Steroids 19 (1972) 681-688.
- Garmaise D. L. and Shoppee C. W.: Potential carcinogens. Part I: Δ6-steroids. J. Chem. Soc. 50 (1953) 245-249.
- Cameron E. H. D., Hillier S. G. and Griffiths K.: In Steroid Immunoassay. Proc. Fifth Tenovus Workshop, Cardiff, April 1974. Alpha Omega, Cardiff, Wales, 1975.
- Abraham G. E.: The use of diatomite microcolumns for the chromatographic separation of steroids prior to RIA. Path. Biol. 23 (1975) 889-893.
- Castanier M. and Scholler R.: Dosage radioimmunologique de l'estrone et de l'estradiol-17β plasmatiques. C.R. hebd. Séanc. Acad. Sci., Paris 271 (1970) 1787-1789.
- Currie L. A.: Limits for qualitative detection and quantitative determination. Application to radiochemistry. *Analyt. Chem.* 40 (1968) 586-593.
- Abraham G.: Solid phase radioimmunoassay of estradiol-17β. J. clin. Endocr. Metab. 29 (1969) 866-870.